UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Brian Garrett, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:18-cv-692

٧.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Defendant.

Steve Snyder-Hill, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:18-cv-736

V.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Defendant.

Nicholas Nutter, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:19-cv-2462

٧.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Defendant.

Rocky Ratliff,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:19-cv-4746

٧.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Defendant.

John Does 151-161, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:20-cv-3817

v.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Defendant.

John Does 172-191, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

٧.

Case No. 2:21-cv-2121

The Ohio State University

Judge Michael H. Watson

Defendant.

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

John Does 192-217,

Plaintiffs,

v.

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Case No. 2:21-cv-2527

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Michael Alf, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:21-cv-2542

٧.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Michael Canales, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:21-cv-2562

٧.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University

Defendant.

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Timothy Moxley, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 2:21-cv-3838

٧.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Defendant.

John Doe,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:23-cv-1048

v.

Judge Michael H. Watson

The Ohio State University

Magistrate Judge Preston Deavers

Defendant.

ORDER

Pursuant to the Court's prior Opinion and Order, ECF No. 212, the Court

ORDERS the following:

- Each Plaintiff's attorney group is ORDERED to file, within twenty-one days of the date of this Order, a Complaint in a new case that consolidates the claims of each plaintiff that attorney group currently represents. The Complaint shall not include any plaintiffs who are not currently named in an active case, nor shall it include any claim or theory not previously identified in the joint notice (Sharp; Public Justice; Bianco) or supplement thereto (Wright & Schulte; Ratliff). The civil cover sheet for each new Complaint shall state that the case is to be directly assigned to the docket of the Undersigned Judges per court order. The filing fee is WAIVED;
- If a Plaintiff attorney group wishes to add additional plaintiffs, claims, or theories
 of liability to an existing Complaint, the parties shall move for leave to amend the
 Complaint.
- The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to assign the five to-be-filed, consolidated actions directly to the dockets of the Undersigned Judges, as the new cases are related to pending actions;
- Defendant is ORDERED to file its responsive pleading to each Complaint within TWENTY-ONE DAYS of the Complaint's filing.
- No Plaintiff attorney group shall move for Rule 23 class certification until Magistrate Judge Deavers sets a deadline for such a motion. It is the Court's intention that significant discovery occur prior to briefing the propriety of class certification;

 Discovery shall be COORDINATED among the five to-be-filed cases in a manner directed by Magistrate Judge Deavers.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

MICHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ELIZABETH A. PRESTON DEAVERS
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE